# **SCHEDULE 14A** Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by	a Party other than the Registrant ☑
Check th	e appropriate box:
	Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

Filed by the Registrant  $\square$ 

# **Stratus Properties Inc.** (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Oasis Management Company Ltd. **Seth Fischer** Ella Benson Eugenio De La Garza Diaz Laurier L. Dotter

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

$\checkmark$	No fee required.				
	Fee compu	tted on table below per Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.			
	1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:			
	2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:			
	3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):			
	4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:			
	5)	Total fee paid:			
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.				
	which the	if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the chedule and the date of its filing.			
	1)	Amount Previously Paid:			
	2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:			
	3)	Filing Party:			
	4)	Date Filed:			

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

On April 15, 2021, Oasis Management Company Ltd. ("Oasis") issued a press release (the "Press Release") announcing the public release of a letter (the "Letter") to the shareholders of Stratus Properties Inc. (the "Company") highlighting Stratus' missteps, refuting false and misleading statements circulated by the Company and calling on shareholders to vote for change by voting on the GOLD proxy card. A copy of the Press Release, which contains a link to the Letter, is filed herewith as Exhibit 1 and a copy of the Letter is filed herewith as Exhibit 2.

# Oasis Files Definitive Proxy Statement and Issues Letter to Stratus Shareholders

- New Letter Highlights Incumbent Board's Failure to Hold Management Accountable for Totally Disregarding Five Year Plan
- Recommends a Full Portfolio Review and Increased Asset Churn to Narrow Stratus' Discount to NAV
- Oasis Proposes New Exceptional Nominees to Hold Management Accountable
- Urges Shareholders to Vote on the GOLD Proxy Card for the Oasis Nominees
- All materials are available at www.abetterstratus.com

April 15, 2021 08:00 AM Eastern Standard Time

Austin, Texas--(BUSINESS WIRE)--Oasis Management Company Ltd. ("Oasis") is the manager to a fund that is a significant, long-term shareholder of Stratus Properties Inc. (NASDAQ: STRS) (the "Company" or "Stratus") that beneficially owns over 13.5% of Stratus' ordinary shares.

Oasis today released a letter to Stratus shareholders urging them to vote on the <u>GOLD</u> proxy card for the highly qualified and independent Oasis director nominees. The letter highlights missteps and counters false and misleading statements circulated by the Company. The letter describes how Stratus' share price underperformance is a direct result of broken promises and defensive actions which have destroyed shareholder value.

Oasis encourages its fellow shareholders to read the letter, as well as its other letters and presentation which can be viewed on the homepage of www.abetterstratus.com.

All stakeholders are encouraged to contact Oasis at info@abetterstratus.com.

## **Contacts**

# **Shareholders:**

Okapi Partners LLC

Mark Harnett: 646-556-9350 Patrick J. McHugh: 212-297-0721

# **Media**

Taylor Hall media@oasiscm.com

## About Oasis Management Company Ltd.

Oasis Management Company Ltd. manages private investment funds focused on opportunities in a wide array of asset classes across countries and sectors. Oasis was founded in 2002 by Seth H. Fischer, who leads the firm as its Chief Investment Officer. More information about Oasis is available at https://oasiscm.com.

# Important Information

Oasis Management Company Ltd., Seth Fischer, Ella Benson, Laurie L. Dotter and Jaime Eugenio De la Garza Diaz (collectively, the "Participants") have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") a definitive proxy statement and accompanying form of <u>GOLD</u> proxy card to be used in connection with the solicitation of proxies from the shareholders of Stratus Properties Inc. (the "Company"). All shareholders of the Company are advised to read the definitive proxy statement and other documents related to the solicitation of proxies by the Participants as they contain important information, including additional information related to the Participants. The definitive proxy statement and an accompanying <u>GOLD</u> proxy card will be furnished to some or all of the Company's shareholders and is, along with other relevant documents, available at no charge on Oasis' campaign website at https://www.abetterstratus.com/ and the SEC website at https://www.sec.gov/. Information about the Participants and a description of their direct or indirect interests by security holdings is contained in the definitive proxy statement filed by the Participants with the SEC on April 14, 2021. This document will be available free of charge from the source indicated above

## Disclaimer

This material does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any of the securities described herein in any state to any person. In addition, the discussions and opinions in this press release and the material contained herein are for general information only, and are not intended to provide investment advice. All statements contained in this press release that are not clearly historical in nature or that necessarily depend on future events are "forward-looking statements," which are not guarantees of future performance or results, and the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "potential," "could," "opportunity," "estimate," and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. The projected results and statements contained in this press release and the material contained herein that are not historical facts are based on current expectations, speak only as of the date of this press release and involve risks that may cause the actual results to be materially different. Certain information included in this material is based on data obtained from sources considered to be reliable. No representation is made with respect to the accuracy or completeness of such data, and any analyses provided to assist the recipient of this material in evaluating the matters described herein may be based on subjective assessments and assumptions and may use one among alternative methodologies that produce different results. Accordingly, any analyses should also not be viewed as factual and also should not be relied upon as an accurate prediction of future results. All figures are unaudited estimates and subject to revision without notice. Oasis disclaims any obligation to update the information herein and reserves the right to change any of its opinions expressed herein at any time as it deems appropriate. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Oasis has neither sought nor obtained the consent from any third party to use any statements or information contained herein that have been obtained or derived from statements made or published by such third parties. Except as otherwise expressly stated herein, any such statements or information should not be viewed as indicating the support of such third parties for the views expressed herein.

# OASIS

April 15, 2021

Dear Fellow Stratus Shareholder.

Oasis Investments II Master Fund Ltd. ("Oasis") has been a Stratus shareholder since August 2016 and currently owns 13.67% of the stock, making the Fund one the Company's largest shareholders. Over the last four and half years, during which an Oasis representative sat on Stratus' Board of Directors (the "Board"), Oasis has conducted in-depth diligence on Stratus' real estate holdings and gained firsthand insight into Stratus' many corporate governance deficiencies<sup>1</sup>. We believe that Stratus' underlying real estate has tremendous value that has been suppressed by management's inability to execute on a value-creating strategy and an entrenched, overly tenured Legacy Board<sup>2</sup> that has proven incapable of overseeing management.

As a result, we have submitted for election at the Company's 2021 Annual Meeting of Stockholders two independent, highly qualified directors to join Stratus' Board. We have also submitted a nonbinding advisory proposal to expand the Board and appoint a third highly qualified, independent director. We believe that these three independent and highly qualified candidates will bring the deep expertise and fresh perspective needed to unlock shareholder value at Stratus which could result in shareholders' stock being valued in excess of \$50 per share, amounting to an upside of over 130%<sup>3</sup>.

Today, we are writing to ask for your vote on the <u>GOLD</u> Proxy Card, which would be a vote in favor of accountability, appropriate oversight, and an effective strategy that have all eluded Stratus for so long.

Oasis Management Company Ltd. c/o Oasis Capital Advisors, LLC, 300 West 6th Street, Suite 1550, Austin, Texas 78701 +1 512 225 1025 info@oasiscm.com https://oasiscm.com twitter.com/Oasis\_Capital

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  See our presentation filed on Schedule 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consisting of Beau Armstrong, Jim Leslie, Mike Madden, Chuck Porter and Jim Joseph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All price appreciation calculated based on the September 25, 2020 closing price, which was when Oasis' representative's resignation from the Board became effective.

# **Promises Made, Promises Broken**

The consequences from the total lack of accountability, oversight and strategy at Stratus are manifold. Perhaps the most staggering example is Stratus' total failure to execute on the five-year plan it presented to shareholders on April 20, 2015, where it promised to "monetize a large portion of its assets on an orderly basis and return cash to shareholders."

Five years later, as demonstrated in the summary chart that follows, the Company has failed to achieve every one of the objectives laid out to shareholders in its five-year plan.

Objective	Result	Goal Achieved
"Monetize a large portion of its assets on an orderly basis over the next five years and return cash to shareholders."	An insignificant portion of Stratus' assets were monetized. The only cash shareholders received was \$1/ share in the form of a special dividend after the sale of The Oaks at Lakeway.	Not Achieved
"New investments will be complementary to existing investment programs, such as grocery-anchored mixed-use projects, which can be developed and sold over the next five years."	None of the new investments that Stratus took on during this five-year time frame have been sold. The Oaks at Lakeway was already under construction at plan inception and so is not considered a new investment.	Not Achieved
Single-family: Develop and sell 156 lots	0 lots included in the five-year plan were sold.	Not Achieved
Multi-family: Develop and sell 1,535 units Sell 811 undeveloped units	7 units included in the five-year plan were sold.	Not Achieved
Commercial: Develop and sell 1.2 mm square feet Sell 2.2mm undeveloped square	359,000 square feet of commercial space included in the plan were sold.	Not Achieved
feet		

After the expiration of the five-year plan and amidst pressure from Oasis, Stratus sold the Saint Mary in 2021, touting that "the sale of the Saint Mary is another example of the substantial value that we create for our shareholders." However, by our assessment, the shareholder value, if any, that resulted from the sale of the Saint Mary has been trivial. Stratus' stock price moved ~6% on the day following the sale announcement, and by the end of the week, the stock was only up 2%. Also, contrary to the Company's promises in its five-year plan to return cash to shareholders, Stratus seemingly has no plans to do so, as evidenced by its statement that proceeds from the Saint Mary will be reinvested into the Company's pipeline<sup>4</sup>.

In stark contrast to shareholders, who have received nothing but broken promises and a stagnant stock price, Beau Armstrong has done remarkably well for himself. While overseeing the Company's failure to deliver on the five-year plan, Armstrong earned \$8.7mm in total compensation for the years 2015 through 2019. For the sale of the Saint Mary, Armstrong stands to personally receive 9% of the profit. Meanwhile, Armstrong has not addressed the Company's failure to deliver the results it promised to shareholders, and the Legacy Board has not held management accountable for its failure to execute its five-year plan. A refreshed board would go a long way toward providing the accountability and oversight that is missing from Stratus' boardroom and, if achieved, we believe would result in vastly improved returns for shareholders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.stratusproperties.com/pressrelease/137/

# **REIT Conversion**

After the expiration of the five-year plan, Stratus refused to develop or disclose a new disposal plan that would return cash to shareholders. Instead, Stratus announced its intent to explore a REIT conversion, which represented a stark departure from its previously communicated strategy, as it effectively halts asset disposals and their potential associated shareholder returns through at least 2027. Furthermore, contrary to the Company's claims, it is not apparent that a REIT structure will create value for Stratus' shareholders as Stratus' portfolio, performance and strategy are precisely the opposite of those preferred by REIT investors, as detailed in the chart below:

Unsuitable Portfolio		Unsuitable Performance		Unsuitable Strategy	
Stratus	REIT	Stratus	REIT	Stratus	REIT
✓ Diversified	*Diversified REITS	✓ Highly levered	REITs that are	✓ Stratus needs	★Within the first
across assets	are out of favor	57323 123	highly levered	to sell assets to	five years of REIT
(single-family,	with investors and	✓12/31/2019 Net	tend to	narrow its	conversion, it is
multi-family,	tend to trade at a	Debt / EBITDA(1)	underperform	discount to	disadvantageous
retail,	larger discount to	ratio: 16.37x	REITs with less	NAV	to dispose of
hospitality,	NAV than non-		debt in their		assets with a low
office)	diversified peers		capital		basis due to the
			structure		potential for REIT
1	⋆Investors tend to				shareholders to
	classify REITS into		×12/31/2019		face double
	one of four main		MSCI US REIT		taxation
	buckets: multi-		Index		
	family, office,		Net Debt /		
	industrial and		EBITDA ratio:		
	retail		5.94x		
✓ Concentrated	*Geographically	✓ Stratus′	*REIT investors	✓ Stratus plans	★Joint ventures
in the Austin	concentrated	reported pre-tax	expect to	to rely on joint-	and preferred
market	REITs tend to	income has been	receive a	ventures and	structures are
	underperform	negative in 3 of	sustainable	similar	disfavored by
		the last 5 years,	dividend and	arrangements	public REIT
		impairing the	use dividend	to help fund its	investors
		payment of a	yield as one of	development	
		steady dividend	the ways to	pipeline	
√High	★REITs are	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	evaluate REITS	✓Large	★Since REITs are
proportion of	characterized by	✓ Years in which		development	required to pay
development	stable, cash-	pre-tax income		pipeline and	out 90% of their
assets which	producing assets.	was positive		land bank that	taxable income
leads to volatile,	The lumpiness of	resulted from		requires	to shareholders,
inconsistent	cash flow	gains on sale of		significant	they are left with
cash flows	associated with	assets. Such		capital to	minimal retained
	development	transactions		develop	earnings with
	assets is not well-	would not occur			which to acquire
	viewed by	in the first five			and develop new
	investors	years after REIT			properties
		conversion			

While Stratus believes that converting into a REIT will bring tax savings, the marginal tax benefits from a REIT structure are unlikely to outweigh the reduction in discounted cash flow resulting from a seven-year delay in asset sales. It is notable that in three of the last five years, Stratus did not even report an income tax expense on its income statement due to negative pre-tax income. This further calls into question the magnitude of any tax savings benefit that Stratus would receive in a REIT conversion.

Rather than creating shareholder value, we believe that Stratus' idea of a REIT conversion is motivated by self-perpetuation. Becoming a REIT limits Stratus' abilities to sell assets and reduces the breadth of actions it can take to narrow its discount to NAV, effectively providing management an excuse for doing nothing for the next five years. In our view, Stratus' management and Legacy Board's main motives to explore a REIT conversion are to stall, entrench themselves, and secure their jobs through 2027 – things that we believe can be prevented with experienced, independent and qualified directors in the boardroom.

Stratus has stated that Oasis is opposed to a REIT conversion because of the negative tax consequences we would face as an offshore investor. However, this is simply not true. According to the NAREIT website<sup>5</sup>, the highest effective tax rate on Qualified REIT Dividends is typically 29.6% for U.S. investors and 30% for Non-U.S. Investors. Do you really think we would be waging a proxy fight over 40 basis points of future tax savings on a dividend that may not even be paid out? As we have previously stated, Oasis has no ulterior motives. We have the same interests as other stock investors: equity appreciation. That is the basis of our argument.

# **Poor Corporate Governance**

We believe that many of Stratus' issues stem from the Company's poor corporate governance practices. The Company was originally established with inferior and outdated governance practices, such as:

- A staggered board;
- · No director term limits;
- · A combined CEO/Chairman role;
- · No right by shareholders to call special meetings;
- · Board authority to issue blank check preferred stock; and
- The requirement that any business combination not approved by the majority of the board must be approved by a supermajority vote of shareholders.

Since many of these guidelines require a supermajority shareholder vote to amend, or can only be undone by the Board, these poor practices continue to this day.

The negative implications of these practices have been further exacerbated by a Legacy Board comprised of Directors who lack independence and fail to provide an appropriate counterbalance to the combined CEO / Chairman role held by Beau Armstrong. The Legacy Board's average tenure exceeds 18 years, which we believe in and of itself compromises independence. Additionally, many of the Directors are further conflicted through their connections to each other and to Jim Bob Moffett, who was the co-founder and CEO of Freeport McMoRan from which Stratus was originally spun out and for whom Beau Armstrong served as a "real-estate lieutenant."

Tenure and relationships aside, we believe that a director's independence is best judged by their decisions. The Legacy Board has presided over poor decisions and has not provided an adequate check to the CEO's power. Under their watch, Stratus hired the CEO's son, Buck Armstrong, as a consultant to the Company. Upon closer inspection, it appears that Buck is simultaneously employed by Stratus while not being categorized as an employee and managed to receive an award under the Company's Profit Plan in 2019. Whatever the true nature of the relationship, the arrangement is problematic and, at the very least, is rife with conflict. We believe that a board that takes its responsibility to shareholders seriously would not have allowed such an arrangement to proceed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.reit.com/investing/investing-reits/taxes-reit-investment#:~:text=The%20majority%20of%20REIT%20dividends,3.8%25%20surtax%20on%20investment%20income

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Oasis' presentation filed on Schedule 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2021 for a chart detailing the conflicted relationships among the Board's directors.

Another questionable decision authorized by the Legacy Board includes a discretionary payout to a limited partner in Kingwood L.P. on October 31, 2019. Roughly 15 months after initial investment, Stratus redeemed the partner's interest at approximately a 12% return. During this same period, Stratus' stock returned negative 8.7%. This same Legacy Board authorized a poison pill without shareholder approval and excessively compensated the CEO despite Stratus' underperformance and failure to execute on its five-year plan.

# Underperformance

Since 2005, Austin's real estate market has experienced a momentous rise, with an average appreciation of approximately 106% across multifamily, office, and retail asset classes<sup>7</sup>. Taking into consideration Stratus' leverage, we would have expected the Company's equity holders to realize a return that is multiples beyond that of the Austin market. **However, since 2005, Stratus' stock price has remained flat – performance that is simply inexcusable in our opinion.** 

Also, since 2005, Austin's population has grown more than 40%, median home prices in the Austin-Round Rock MSA have grown by more than 200%, Austin office rents have increased by more than 100%, and retail rents have increased by approximately 40% per square foot. Austin continues to be a leading destination for many top tier corporations, such as Tesla, Amazon and Apple, who have been establishing and expanding their presence in the Austin area. Stratus' inability to capitalize on Austin's booming market despite having some of the best land entitlements and assets in the area speaks to the urgent change that is needed at the Company.

While Stratus claims that its stock outperformed the MSCI US REIT Index on a one, five and ten year basis, we believe this analysis is misleading. First, much of Stratus' so-called outperformance has come after Oasis' intervention and subsequent proxy filings, a period in which Stratus' stock price has appreciated 49.6%. Second, given the focus of Stratus' asset portfolio on the Austin retail and residential market, we find it surprising that Stratus' Board thinks the most appropriate comparable is the MSCI US REIT Index, which is heavily skewed towards operators with national logistics and telecommunications assets.

# **A Better Stratus**

To realize its potential, Stratus needs (i) a mid-term strategic plan that will help reduce the Company's discount to NAV and (ii) corporate governance reforms that will increase management accountability.

Our proposed strategic plan for Stratus is simple: close the NAV gap largely by executing on the plan that the Company laid out five years ago but failed to achieve. To execute this successfully, we believe that Stratus begin by pausing the REIT exploration. Then, it must conduct a thorough review of every asset in its portfolio. For assets in various stages of the development process, an NPV analysis should determine whether the assets should be developed then sold, or sold immediately. For assets that the Company determines should be developed then sold, a portion of management's compensation should be tied to meeting the associated NPV forecasts (and development timeline) to hold management accountable. Based on the results of the portfolio review, an internal timeline of asset sales and a range of acceptable values should be compiled. This plan should also include an analysis of how the sale proceeds will be used. Although we favor a return of cash to shareholders, if the Company's balance sheet is overstretched, paying down debt may be more pressing. A portion of management's compensation should be tied to meeting these goals to hold management accountable. It should also be clear that any piecemeal asset disposal plan that returns cash to shareholders needs to be judged against a sale of the entire company. This Board has thus far shown neither the will nor the ability to take these basic steps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Based on REIS transaction data

Furthermore, a detailed analysis of expenses (both expensed and capitalized) should be completed to identify cost saving initiatives. Again, a portion of management's compensation should be tied to meeting these goals to hold management accountable. Stratus' decisions to hire family members for questionable consulting roles and pay out excessive compensation exemplify the lack of cost discipline that results from poor oversight.

## **Nominees**

Stratus' underperformance<sup>8</sup>, the questionable decisions overseen by the Legacy Directors, and the total lack of accountability demonstrate, in our view, that the current board is not capable of delivering the type of change that is necessary for Stratus to succeed. We believe that the only way to ensure that Stratus realizes its potential is to elect new, highly qualified, independent directors who will prioritize their duty to shareholders. Because Stratus has a staggered board, we are nominating Ella Benson and Jaime De la Garza as Class II directors to replace Jim Leslie and Neville Rhone Jr. We are also seeking a nonbinding advisory vote to expand the Board by one director and appoint Laurie Dotter to fill the newly created vacancy. All three of the candidates are independent, possess extensive industry and public company experience, and recognize the need for change at Stratus.

By contrast, Jim Leslie, one of Stratus' Class II nominees has been a Director of Stratus for 25 years. He has proven to be an ineffective advocate for shareholders, presiding over questionable board decisions and excessive executive compensation as head of the Compensation Committee. His track record on the only other public company board he has recently served, Dougherty's Pharmacy (MYDP), hardly inspires confidence considering that Dougherty's filed for bankruptcy in 2020. We note that Jim Leslie was a Director of Dougherty's Pharmacy since 2001, Chairman since March 2002 and held interim executive roles at the company before it filed for bankruptcy. We agree with the opinion expressed by Hutto city officials that Mr. Leslie's actions as a developer demonstrate a lack of "financial and intellectual capacity to perform." We further believe that Mr. Leslie's track record of failure renders him unfit to serve as a director of Stratus, and Stratus' willingness to go to war for him should only increase shareholders' skepticism.

Stratus' second nominee, Neville Rhone Jr., was appointed to Stratus' Board at the suggestion of Beau Armstrong who knew Mr. Rhone from previously working together when Mr. Rhone was at Canyon-Johnson Fund. This appointment illustrates that this Board maintains itself as a "club" built from within their own networks. In contrast to Stratus, Oasis' nominees are entirely independent and were selected in a process assisted by a third party. We believe that to be effective, directors need to be put forth by the Company's stockholders and not cherry-picked in advance by a classified board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Oasis' presentation filed on Schedule 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ttps://www.statesman.com/news/20190830/hutto-developer-sue-each-other-over-baseball-field-project

In short, Stratus would like you to vote for more of the same – more years of stagnant performance, an entrenched board and total lack of accountability – without any explanation for why shareholders should expect this time to be any different. Oasis, on the other hand, believes that Stratus has the potential to unlock the considerable value of its properties with proper oversight by a refreshed and independent Board. To that end, we ask you to support our two shareholder nominees–Ella Benson and Jaime De la Garza—and also vote in favor of expanding the board to include Laurie Dotter.

Sincerely,

Ella Benson

All stakeholders are encouraged to contact Oasis at info@abetterstratus.com.

# About Oasis Management Company Ltd.

Oasis Management Company Ltd. manages private investment funds focused on opportunities in a wide array of asset classes across countries and sectors. Oasis was founded in 2002 by Seth H. Fischer, who leads the firm as its Chief Investment Officer. More information about Oasis is available at <a href="https://oasiscm.com">https://oasiscm.com</a>.

# **Important Information**

Oasis Management Company Ltd., Seth Fischer, Ella Benson, Laurie L. Dotter and Jaime Eugenio De la Garza Diaz (collectively, the "Participants") have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") a definitive proxy statement and accompanying form of GOLD proxy card to be used in connection with the solicitation of proxies from the shareholders of Stratus Properties Inc. (the "Company"). All shareholders of the Company are advised to read the definitive proxy statement and other documents related to the solicitation of proxies by the Participants as they contain important information, including additional information related to the Participants. The definitive proxy statement and an accompanying GOLD proxy card will be furnished to some or all of the Company's shareholders and is, along with other relevant documents, available at no charge on Oasis' campaign website at https://www.abetterstratus.com/ and the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/. Information about the Participants and a description of their direct or indirect interests by security holdings is contained in the definitive proxy statement filed by the Participants with the SEC on April 14, 2021. This document will be available free of charge from the source indicated above.

# Disclaimer

This material does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any of the securities described herein in any state to any person. In addition, the discussions and opinions in this press release and the material contained herein are for general information only, and are not intended to provide investment advice. All statements contained in this press release that are not clearly historical in nature or that necessarily depend on future events are "forward-looking statements," which are not guarantees of future performance or results, and the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "potential," "could," "opportunity," "estimate," and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. The projected results and statements contained in this press release and the material contained herein that are not historical facts are based on current expectations, speak only as of the date of this press release and involve risks that may cause the actual results to be materially different. Certain information included in this material is based on data obtained from sources considered to be reliable.

No representation is made with respect to the accuracy or completeness of such data, and any analyses provided to assist the recipient of this material in evaluating the matters described herein may be based on subjective assessments and assumptions and may use one among alternative methodologies that produce different results. Accordingly, any analyses should also not be viewed as factual and also should not be relied upon as an accurate prediction of future results. All figures are unaudited estimates and subject to revision without notice. Oasis disclaims any obligation to update the information herein and reserves the right to change any of its opinions expressed herein at any time as it deems appropriate. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Oasis has neither sought nor obtained the consent from any third party to use any statements or information contained herein that have been obtained or derived from statements made or published by such third parties. Except as otherwise expressly stated herein, any such statements or information should not be viewed as indicating the support of such third parties for the views expressed herein.

## Contacts



1212 Avenue of the Americas, 24th Floor New York, NY 10036

Banks and Brokerage Firms, Please Call: (212) 297-0720 Shareholders and All Others Call Toll-Free: (877) 566-1922 E-mail:info@okapipartners.com